APPEAL NO. 031289 FILED JUNE 30, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on March 11 and April 22, 2003. The hearing officer determined that the appellant's (claimant) _______, compensable injury does not extend to or include the diagnoses of deformation of the left forearm and elbow, lumbar herniated nucleus pulposus/radiculitis and cervical radiculitis and that the claimant did not have disability. The claimant appeals these determinations and attaches new evidence to his request for review. The respondent (carrier) urges affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

In determining whether the hearing officer's decision is sufficiently supported by the evidence, we will generally not consider evidence that is offered for the first time on appeal. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 92255, decided July 27, 1992. To determine whether evidence offered for the first time on appeal requires that the case be remanded for further consideration, we consider whether it came to the appellant's knowledge after the hearing, whether it is cumulative, whether it was through lack of diligence that it was not offered at the hearing, and whether it is so material that it would probably produce a different result. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 93111, decided March 29, 1993; Black v. Wills, 758 S.W.2d 809 (Tex. App.-Dallas 1988, no writ). We do not find that to be the case with the documents that the claimant attached to his request for review, which were not offered into evidence at the hearing. Accordingly, we decline to consider these documents on appeal.

The issues of extent of injury and disability involved factual questions for the hearing officer to resolve. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence. Section 410.165(a). As the finder of fact, the hearing officer resolves the conflicts in the evidence and determines what facts have been established from the evidence presented. Nothing in our review of the record indicates that the hearing officer's decision is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **TRANSPORTATION INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 350 NORTH ST. PAUL STREET DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.

	Chris Cowan
	Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge	
Robert W. Potts	
Appeals Judge	